

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BRANDON MAXWELL,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B221344  
(Super. Ct. No. BA357100-01)  
(Los Angeles County)

Brandon Maxwell appeals an order of probation granted after he expressly waived his constitutional rights and pleaded nolo contendere to assault with a deadly weapon. (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1).) The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed Maxwell on informal probation for three years, with terms and conditions including 100 days of confinement in county jail. The court imposed a restitution fine and various fees, and imposed and stayed a probation revocation restitution fine. Upon the prosecutor's motion, the court dismissed an alleged prior felony strike conviction and a count alleging possession of a weapon while in custody. (*Id.*, § 1385, subd. (a).) The court awarded Maxwell 100 days of presentence custody credits.

Maxwell filed a notice of appeal stating that he was appealing the sentence or other matters occurring after entry of the plea, and the denial of a motion to suppress evidence. (Maxwell did not file a motion to suppress evidence.) He also sought a

certificate of probable cause claiming that he did not receive effective assistance of counsel. The trial court denied his request.

We appointed counsel to represent Maxwell in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On April 12, 2010, we advised Maxwell that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response from him.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Maxwell's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P.J.

We concur:

YEGAN, J.

COFFEE, J.

Anne H. Egerton, Judge  
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.